



CCCM CLUSTER
SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES
www.cccmcluster.org

FACTSHEET

Myanmar

September 2016

www.shelternficccmmyanmar.org



KEY FIGURES

Total people displaced Rakhine & Kachin/Shan

217,430

97,640 Kachin/Shan

119,790 Rakhine

Cluster target population (IDPs and host)


203,899 IDPs

13,531 (residing with) **host**

Camps or camp-like settings

Rakhine: 38

Kachin/Shan: 171



Total funding
required:
16.7M

KEY DOCUMENTS

Humanitarian Needs/Response Plan 2016

<http://www.sheltercluster.org/library/humanitarian-n-country-team-strategiesresponse-plans-2016>

Cluster Analysis Reports

<http://www.sheltercluster.org/library/data-analysis-kachinshan> (Kachin/Shan)

<http://www.sheltercluster.org/library/cluster-data-analysis> (Rakhine)

Kachin/Shan Camp Profiling (Rounds 1 to 5)

<http://www.sheltercluster.org/hub/kachinshan>

Rakhine Camp Profiles/Management Agency Reports

<http://www.sheltercluster.org/hub/rakhine>

2015-2016 Rakhine CCCM Cluster Strategic Outlook

KEY CLUSTER PARTNERS

National UNHCR
& IOM

Kachin/Shan

- KBC
- KMSS
- Shalom
- NRC

Rakhine

- LWF
- DRC
- NRC
- RI

KEY DATES & RESPONSIBILITIES

- Activation of the CCCM Cluster: **January 2013**
- Leadership: **UNHCR**
- **UNHCR** also leads **shelter & NFI** Cluster and **Protection** Sector

CONTEXT

Breakdown of a ceasefire agreement in KACHIN State in 2011 caused waves of displacement with over 90,000 IDPs dispersed across 150+ camps or camp-like settings, including areas of Northern Shan State (Shan). An additional 7,000 IDPs are staying with host families. About 50% of camps are located in non-government controlled areas (NGCA) with very limited access.

In RAKHINE State, displacement occurred in 2012 due to inter-communal clashes and burning of houses. From an initial caseload of 140,000+ IDPs in 2013, last year 20,000+ persons were able to vacate their temporary shelter and assisted to build their own individual houses through a process of owner-driven construction. 60% in their place of origin, 40% in new locations. This resulted in the number of camps (or camp-like settings) decreasing from 67 to 38. Still, almost 120,000 IDPs reside in camps where overcrowding and lack of privacy remain huge problems and in structures that were originally designed and built in 2013 to be temporary and last two years. During the rainy season conditions worsen as there are inadequate drainage systems. Significant restrictions on freedom of movement limit access to livelihoods, healthcare, food, education and other basic services. This also affects parts of the non-displaced population.

PRIORITIES

KACHIN/SHAN Key priorities remain 1) **humanitarian assistance being well-managed and coordinated**; 2) **participatory and community-based development approaches are integrated into planning and implementation**; and 3) **when return or relocation is possible, IDPs are well-prepared to rebuild their lives permanently within a reasonable amount of time and be able to contribute to social cohesion**. Many shelters that were built before Cluster activation did not meet minimum standards/guidelines, significantly. Addressing these needs plus the fact that unless solutions are found it remains a perpetual cycle of replacing sub-standard/no longer habitable temporary shelters. Need for mass blanket NFI distributions have passed but some NFIs for vulnerable cases continue.

RAKHINE While through Cluster partners and their camp management activities there is good coverage of over 90 per cent of the IDP caseload, the **need to reform the Camp Management Committees (CMCs) remains the single biggest and most persistent challenge**. The CMC's responsibility fails to be enforced, they are appointed not elected, fail to be representative of their residents and have proved corrupt, violent, block humanitarian assistance, prone to extortion and yet benefit from impunity. Constructive engagement/advocacy with the government continues as to how they could be reformed but tangible action is critically dependent upon the authority of the State. NFI needs are more acute due to over-crowded conditions, severe restrictions on freedom of movement and access to basic services. Most temporary shelters have been subjected to a 4th rainy season, which results in massive temporary shelter repair and maintenance needs of US\$3.9 million this year. 60 per cent has been raised has/or is being implemented. A gap of US\$1.5 million remains.

CLUSTER TEAM:*

National Shelter/NFI/CCCM Cluster Coordinator

Edward Benson (UNHCR)

benson@unhcr.org

+95 (0)9 4500 64728

National Cluster Information Management Focal Point

Parveen Mann (UNHCR)

mannp@unhcr.org

+95 (0)9 4500 57412

Kachin/Shan Sub-national Shelter/NFI/CCCM Coordinator

Jade Chakowa (UNHCR)

chakowa@unhcr.org

+95 (0)9 9677 25418

Kachin/Bhamo Cluster Coordination Focal Point

Maran Tan Nau (UNHCR)

maran@unhcr.org

+95 (0)9 4000 36904

Kachin/Shan IOM CCCM Project Coordinator

Rafael Abis (IOM)

rabis@iom.int

+95 (0)9 4545 14326

Rakhine Sub-national CCCM/NFI Cluster Coordinator

Richard Tracey (UNHCR)

tracey@unhcr.org

+95 (0)9 4480 27896

Rakhine Sub-national CCCM/NFI Cluster Focal Point

Kyaw Kyaw San (UNHCR)

sank@unhcr.org

+95 (0)9 4210 53662

Rakhine IOM CCCM Project Coordinator Chiara Gilera (IOM)

clucchini@iom.int

+95 (0)9 4577 82212

*For other members see
COORDINATION TEAM at
www.shelternficccmmyanmar.org

Coordination

At the national and sub-national level the Cluster has four key priorities:

- Strategic guidance;
- Determining needs,
- Coverage of needs, both operational and adequate resources, notably funding;
- Equity of assistance; adherence to standards, including building national capacity.

Overall it remains a operationally centric Cluster with delivery against the needs as its *main* priority.

Funding & Staffing

As Lead agency UNHCR has (since Cluster inception) funded the vast majority of camp management activities across Rakhine, Kachin/Shan. In Kachin/Shan partners are local (faith-based) NGOs (see overleaf). Rakhine State (a vastly different context) partners are international NGOs (see overleaf). It proves *more* challenging to raise funds for Kachin/Shan than Rakhine, the latter more high-profile.

UNHCR supplies the bulk of staff at national and sub-national level, which at the operational level includes many national colleagues whose day-to-day roles are critical. Consistent with its operational focus, the staffing structure is light at the national level in Yangon, one dedicated person with far *more* resources deployed to the sub-national/operational level. The Cluster also benefits from IOM support plus standby partners NORCAP, Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) and the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC). Their support is vital to the success of the Cluster.

Information Management

Information management capacities exist at national and sub-national level. The field generates regular data, which is shared at the national level for triangulation and wider dissemination. See overleaf (and website links) for some of the key information management products.

A JIPS initiated camp profiling exercise for Kachin/Shan in 2013 has proved successful to the point that it has been conducted another 4 times. Similar efforts are underway for Rakhine State, again directly supported by JIPS and co-led by DRC and the Cluster Lead. Regular monthly camp monitoring reports for Rakhine need to be improved. Following the direct support of IOM/DRC this is being addressed.

Security & Other Issues

Security and access remain issues in both areas but in Kachin/Shan the situation is much more acute with low-level conflict particularly prevalent during the dry season months. In 2016, access to both government and non-government controlled areas has decreased even more while bureaucratic hurdles increased.

In Rakhine State the Camp Management Committees remain the single biggest challenge (see overleaf for more details).